



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,603	06/26/2003	Tadayoshi Nakayama	00862.023114	8039	
5514 FITZPATRICI	7590 04/03/2007 C CELLA HARPER & SCI	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			DO, CHAT C		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2193		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

iù

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/603,603	NAKAYAMA, TADAYOSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chat C. Do	2193		

•		7	1
	Chat C. Do	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	•
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp</li> </ol>	stiance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two mont	he of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	••	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:		mak	
	/	MENG-ALT AN	
·	SUPERV Tech	ISORY PATENT EXAMINOLOGY CENTER 21	VINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment of claims 1, 3-4, 8, 11, and 13 might raise new issue that would require further consideration prior making decision.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argues in page 9 that the claims are now amended in according to the 35 U.S.C. 101 requirement under the patentable subject matter wherein the transform method is applied to the image data. Thus, the transformation of image data is a practical application.

The examiner respectfully submits that pre-emptive a mathematical transformation method for image data would not constitute as a practical application wherein the image data is just the data applied to the mathematical transformation method. Clearly the claims do not include any "practical application" wherein the practical application is not the same as the type of data is used for pre-emptive mathematical transformation. Therefore, claims 1-9, 11, and 13 still have issue with 35 U.S.C. 101 under the patentable subject matter.